

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Robert Greene Sterne Edward J. Kessler Jorge A. Goldstein David K.S. Cornwell Robert W. Esmond Tracy-Gene G. Durkin Michael B. Ray Robert E. Sokohl Eric K. Steffe Michael Q. Lee Steven R. Ludwig John M. Covert Linda E. Alcorn Robert C. Millonig Lawrence B. Bugaisky Donald J. Featherstone Michael V. Messinger

Judith U. Kim Timothy J. Shea, Jr. Patrick E. Garrett Heldi L. Kraus Edward W. Yee Albert L. Ferro* Donald R. Banowit Peter A. Jackman Molly A. McCall Teresa U. Medler Jeffrey S. Weaver Kendrick P. Patterson Vincent L. Capuano Eldora Ellison Floyd Thomas C. Fiala Brian J. Del Buono Virgil Lee Beaston Kimberly N. Reddick Theodore A. Wood Elizabeth J. Haanes Bruce E. Chalker Joseph S. Ostroff Frank R. Cottingham Christine M. Lhulier Rae Lynn Prengaman Lane Shershenovich* Lawrence J. Carroll* George S. Bardmesser Daniel A. Klein* Rodney G. Maze Jason D. Eisenberg Michael D. Specht Andrea J. Kamage Tracy L. Muller* Jon E. Wright* LuAnne M. Yuricek*

e A. Wood
1). Haanes
1). Haanes
Chalker
Cottingham
10. Haller
10. Prengaman
11. Helene C. Carlson
12. Lardin's
13. Bardmesser
Klein's
Mary B. Jung
Karen R. Markowicz
Karen R. Markow J. Lowd
Karen K. Longsworth
Helene C. Carlson
Gaby L. Longsworth
Atthew J. Dowd
Aaron L. Schwartz
Klein'
Mary B. Jung
G. Maze

Nancy J. Leith Helene C. Carlson Gaby L. Longsworth Matthew J. Dowd Aaron L. Schwartz Mary B. Tung Katrina Y. Pei Bryan L. Skelton Robert A. Schwartzman Timothy A. Doyle Jennifer R. Mahalingappa Teresa A. Colella Jeffrey S. Lundgren Victoria S. Rutherford Eric D. Hayes

Of Counsel Kenneth C. Bass III Evan R. Smith

*Admitted only in Maryland *Admitted only in Virginia •Practice Limited to Federal Agencies

November 7, 2003

WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER: (202) 772-8560 INTERNET ADDRESS: RESMOND@SKGF.COM

Art Unit 1641

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re:

U.S. Utility Patent Application

Appl. No. 09/889,520; § 371 Date: December 10, 2001

For: Assay With Reduced Background

Inventors:

Raven et al.

Our Ref:

1581.0810000/RWE

RECEIVED

NOV 1 2 2003

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for appropriate action are the following documents:

- 1. Reply To Restriction Requirement; and
- 2. Return postcard.

It is respectfully requested that the attached postcard be stamped with the date of filing of these documents, and that it be returned to our courier. In the event that extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this patent application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Robert W. Esmond Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 32,893

Enclosures

::ODMA\MHODMA\SKGF_DC1;196779;1

Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C.: 1100 New York Avenue, NW: Washington, DC 20005: 202.371.2600 f 202.371.2540: www.skgf.com



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Confirmation No.: 4276

Art Unit: 1641

Examiner: Davis, Deborah A.

Atty. Docket: 1581.081000/RWE

In re application of:

Raven et al.

Appl. No.: 09/889,520

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

§ 371 Date: December 10, 2001

For: Assay With Reduced Background

Reply To Restriction Requirement

PFCEIVED

#16

NOV 1 2 2003

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action dated **October 8, 2003,** requesting an election of one invention to prosecute in the above-referenced patent application, Applicants hereby elect to prosecute the invention of Group I, represented by claims 1-6, 9-11 and 19. This election is made without prejudice to or disclaimer of the other claims or inventions disclosed. Applicants reserve the right to file divisional applications directed to the non-elected claims.

This election is made with traverse. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction requirement, and consideration of all pending claims, are respectfully requested. The criteria for a proper requirement for restriction are that (1) the inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and (2) there must be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is not required. MPEP § 803.

With respect to the division of the claims into three groups, Applicants respectfully traverse. Applicants respectfully assert that the claims in Groups I-III are closely related in subject matter. Even assuming, arguendo, that Groups I-III represent distinct or independent subject matter, Applicants submit that to search and examine the subject matter of the groups together would not be a serious burden on the Examiner. A search of one group of claims

Raven et al. Appl. No. 09/889,520

is likely to encompass subject matter pertinent to the patentability of all groups. Hence,

Applicants respectfully assert that a serious burden would not be imposed on the Examiner

- 2 -

if restriction were not required. According to the guidance of the MPEP, "[i]f the search and

examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must

examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent

inventions." MPEP § 803. Hence, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Restriction

Requirement, and consideration of all pending claims, are respectfully requested.

It is not believed that extensions of time are required, beyond those that may

otherwise be provided for in accompanying documents. However, if additional extensions

of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this application, then such extensions of

time are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required therefor are

hereby authorized to be charged to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Robert W. Esmond

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 32,893

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.

Suite 600

Washington, D.C. 20005-3934

(202) 371-2600

::ODMA\MHODMA\SKGF_DC1;196772;1

SKGF rev 1/26/01 mac